

**STATEMENT
OF
[REDACTED]**

**DIRECTOR OF SECURITY
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY
BEFORE
THE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE
U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**

SEPTEMBER 6, 1984

STAT

MR. CHAIRMAN, I APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO APPEAR BEFORE THE SUBCOMMITTEE TODAY TO EXPLAIN THE POLYGRAPH PROGRAM AT THE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY.

NSA, AND ITS PREDECESSOR AGENCY, HAS USED THE POLYGRAPH AS A PERSONNEL SECURITY SCREENING TECHNIQUE SINCE 1951. ORIGINALLY IT WAS USED AS AN EMERGENCY MEASURE TO EXPEDITE THE SECURITY PROCESSING OF NEW EMPLOYEES WHO WERE AWAITING CLEARANCE. IN 1953, THE POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION BECAME A CONDITION OF ACCESS FOR ALL CIVILIAN JOB APPLICANTS. SINCE THE 1950s A POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION HAS ALSO BEEN A REQUIREMENT FOR CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL REQUIRING SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION (SCI) ACCESS. WE ALSO POLYGRAPH OTHER AFFILIATES SUCH AS GSA CUSTODIAL PERSONNEL, FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE POLICE AND CONSULTANTS. IN LATE 1982 WE INITIATED A PROGRAM TO POLYGRAPH MILITARY ASSIGNEES ONCE THEY ARE ON-BOARD AT NSA. I WILL SAY MORE ABOUT THIS LATER.

THE FUNCTION OF THE POLYGRAPH IS THREEFOLD:

FIRST, TO ASSIST IN VERIFYING THE IDENTITY OF AN INDIVIDUAL BEING CONSIDERED FOR ACCESS TO SCI. SECOND, TO ASSIST IN FOCUSING UPON SUITABILITY AND COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ISSUES, THOUGH I MUST ADD THAT FROM MY POINT OF VIEW ALL OUR POLYGRAPH QUESTIONS AND PROGRAMS ARE CONCERNED WITH COUNTERINTELLIGENCE.

THIRD, TO DETECT ESPIONAGE, SABOTAGE AND TERRORISM OR THE POTENTIAL FOR SAME.

WE CONDUCT THREE BASIC POLYGRAPH EXAMINATIONS AT NSA WHICH SUPPORT OUR OVERALL PERSONNEL SECURITY SUPERVISION PROGRAM. THIS PERSONNEL SECURITY SUPERVISION PROGRAM INVOLVES THE CONDUCT OF APPROPRIATE APPLICANT AND EMPLOYEE TYPE INVESTIGATIONS, AN AGGRESSIVE SECURITY AWARENESS PROGRAM AND PROFESSIONAL SECURITY OFFICERS ASSIGNED TO MAJOR AGENCY ORGANIZATIONS.

THE FIRST POLYGRAPH PROGRAM IS FOR INITIAL ACCESS TO SENSITIVE INFORMATION. HERE WE CONDUCT FULL SCREENING POLYGRAPH EXAMINATIONS OF APPLICANTS FOR EMPLOYMENT, CONTRACTOR APPLICANTS FOR ACCESS, GSA PERSONNEL AND A FEW OTHER CATEGORIES OF AFFILIATES. THE FULL SCREENING POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION CONSISTS OF RELEVANT QUESTIONS ABOUT THE FOLLOWING TOPICS.

- IDENTITY OF PERSON BEING POLYGRAPHED
- ESPIONAGE
- SABOTAGE
- UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION
- UNAUTHORIZED CONTACTS WITH REPRESENTATIVES OR AGENTS OF FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS
- INVOLVEMENT IN COMMUNIST, FASCIST OR TERRORIST ACTIVITY
- SERIOUS CRIMES
- ADULT HOMOSEXUAL ACTIVITY

- ILLEGAL DRUGS OR NARCOTICS
- DELIBERATE FALSIFICATION OF SECURITY FORMS
- SERIOUS MENTAL DISORDERS

A SECOND PROGRAM IS FOR SINGLE OR SPECIAL ISSUES. HERE WE USE THE POLYGRAPH TO HELP RESOLVE ISSUES BEARING ON THE CONTINUED ACCESS OF AN AFFILIATE - FOR EXAMPLE TO RESOLVE ALLEGATIONS OF DRUG USE OR POSSIBLE ESPIONAGE BY AN AFFILIATE.

WE HAVE HAD THESE TWO PROGRAMS FOR MORE THAN 30 YEARS.

OUR THIRD PROGRAM IS THE APERIODIC AND REINVESTIGATION POLYGRAPH. IN AUG 1982 DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE CARLUCCI, ACTING ON RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE DOD SELECT PANEL ON PERSONNEL SECURITY, AUTHORIZED POLYGRAPH EXAMINATIONS OF DOD AFFILIATES WHO HELD SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION ACCESS. IN OCTOBER 1982, WE IMPLEMENTED THIS PROGRAM AT NSA. SINCE THEN WE HAVE BEEN POLYGRAPHING ON-BOARD AFFILIATES, PERSONS HAVING ACCESS TO SENSITIVE NSA INFORMATION: EMPLOYEES, CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL, AND MILITARY ASSIGNEES. THE PROGRAM APPLIES TO EVERYONE. IT IS MANDATORY. THE SCOPE OF THIS POLYGRAPH PROGRAM IS LIMITED STRICTLY TO COUNTERINTELLIGENCE QUESTIONS: ESPIONAGE, SABOTAGE, UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION, UNAUTHORIZED CONTACT WITH AGENTS OF FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS AND KNOWLEDGE OF OTHERS INVOLVED IN THE FOREGOING. FOR OUR PURPOSES TODAY I WILL CALL THIS THE APERIODIC POLYGRAPH PROGRAM THOUGH IN FACT WE

POLYGRAPH OUR AFFILIATES UNDER THIS PROGRAM UNDER SEVERAL CRITERIA:

- RANDOMLY, APERIODICALLY
- AT THE TIME OF THE FIVE YEAR REINVESTIGATION
- FOR ESPECIALLY SENSITIVE PROJECTS

SOME STATISTICS ON THIS NEWEST NSA POLYGRAPH (AND I MUST ADD HERE THAT IN YEARS PAST WE HAVE HAD VERSIONS OF THIS PROGRAM BUT LACKING THE MANDATORY FEATURE) ARE QUITE INTERESTING. FOR EXAMPLE, THIS YEAR ALONE, FROM 1 JANUARY TO 30 JUNE 1984, WE POLYGRAPHED 1322 AFFILIATES UNDER THE APERIODIC PROGRAM. SOME 23 OF THESE 1322 PEOPLE PROVIDED US RELEVANT INFORMATION REQUIRING A MORE DETAILED CLEARANCE EVALUATION. THE INFORMATION THEY PROVIDED IS QUITE MISCELLANEOUS - I WILL GIVE YOU THREE EXAMPLES.

• AN INDIVIDUAL DESCRIBED A SUSPICIOUS APPROACH BY FOREIGN PERSONNEL AND HAD FAILED TO REPORT THIS INCIDENT PREVIOUSLY. WE INVESTIGATED AND PROVIDED THE INFORMATION TO THE FBI. OUR EMPLOYEE HAS BEEN REMINDED OF HIS OBLIGATION TO IMMEDIATELY REPORT SUSPICIOUS APPROACHES BY FOREIGN PERSONNEL.

• AN INDIVIDUAL KEPT A CLASSIFIED MILITARY MANUAL IN HIS POSSESSION AT HIS RESIDENCE FOR SEVERAL YEARS. HE ORIGINALLY TOOK THE MANUAL HOME TO STUDY FOR A TEST. HE RETURNED THE MANUAL TO US.

. ANOTHER INDIVIDUAL KNEW OF IMPROPER DESTRUCTION OF CRYPTO MATERIAL. HOWEVER, HE WAS NOT PERSONALLY INVOLVED.

NOW I'LL DESCRIBE THE OVERALL SCOPE AND IMPACT OF OUR POLYGRAPH ACTIVITIES. FROM 1 JULY 1983 TO 30 JUNE 1984 WE CONDUCTED A TOTAL OF 11,442 POLYGRAPH EXAMINATIONS IN ALL THE PROGRAMS I'VE DESCRIBED. WE INITIATED THE SECURITY PROCESSING OF 4476 APPLICANTS. WE CANCELLED OUT 2601 OR MORE THAN 50 PERCENT FOR A VARIETY OF REASONS INCLUDING THE APPLICANT DECLINING TO PARTICIPATE IN FURTHER APPLICANT PROCESSING OR DECLINING A JOB OFFER. NSA'S APPLICANT REVIEW PANEL IS COMPOSED OF PERSONNEL, SECURITY AND MEDICAL MANAGERS, LOOKS AT PROBLEM CASES TO DECIDE IF PROCESSING SHOULD PROCEED. THE PROBLEM MAY BE MEDICAL OR PSYCHOLOGICAL, SECURITY, EMPLOYABILITY. THIS PANEL REJECTED 793 PEOPLE FOR FURTHER PROCESSING (INCLUDED IN THE 2601 I MENTIONED ABOVE). I ESTIMATE THAT IN 90 PERCENT OF THE PANEL CASES - OR 714 OF THE 793 - INFORMATION OBTAINED DURING THE POLYGRAPH INTERVIEW WAS RELEVANT TO THE DECISION NOT TO FURTHER PROCESS.

WHILE THE POLYGRAPH PROCESS IS A SIGNIFICANT COLLECTOR OF INFORMATION IN OUR APPLICANT PROCESSING IT IS NO LESS A FACTOR IN THE CLEARANCE PROCESSING OF CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL. DURING THE FIRST HALF OF 1984 WE POLYGRAPHED 1202 CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL. ONE HUNDRED AND SIXTY-SEVEN WERE DENIED ACCESS BASED ON INFORMATION DEVELOPED DURING THE POLYGRAPH INTERVIEW.

THE NSA PERSONNEL SECURITY PROGRAM IS ESTABLISHED IN PUBLIC LAW 88-290 AND WE ADHERE TO THE STANDARDS SET BY THE DCI FOR ACCESS TO SENSITIVE INFORMATION. MOST DISQUALIFYING INFORMATION DISCLOSED DURING THE FULL SCREENING POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION CONCERNS EXTENSIVE DRUG USE OR UNDETECTED CRIMES. WHILE OF COURSE RARE, WE HAVE HAD SOME EXTRAORDINARY ADMISSIONS MADE BY APPLICANTS DURING THE POLYGRAPH INTERVIEW - MURDER AND TRAIN WRECKING FOR EXAMPLE. YOU WILL SEE EXAMPLES OF IMPORTANT INFORMATION DEVELOPED DURING OUR POLYGRAPH EXAMINATIONS IN TWO STUDIES BEING PUT BEFORE YOU - THE DOD/NSA STUDY ON THE ACCURACY AND UTILITY OF POLYGRAPH TESTING AND THE DCI SECURITY COMMITTEE SUMMARY OF MAJOR POLYGRAPH CASES IN THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY POLYGRAPH UTILITY STUDY, FEBRUARY 1984. I WILL RETURN TO THIS AREA.

PRIOR TO MR. CARLUCCI'S AUG 1982 POLICY WE DID NOT ROUTINELY POLYGRAPH MILITARY ASSIGNEES. BEGINNING 1 OCTOBER 1984, ALL MILITARY PERSONNEL UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR ASSIGNMENT OR DETAIL TO NSA SHALL BE REQUIRED TO UNDERGO A CI-SCOPE POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION IN DETERMINING THEIR ELIGIBILITY FOR SUCH ASSIGNMENT OR DETAIL. WHERE POSSIBLE THESE POLYGRAPH EXAMINATIONS WILL BE BY THEIR PARENT SERVICE PRIOR TO ASSIGNMENT TO NSA. AND, AS I MENTIONED, MILITARY PERSONNEL ARE ALSO UNDER THE MANDATORY NSA APERIODIC POLYGRAPH PROGRAM. DURING THE FIRST TEN MONTHS OF FY 1984, 639 MILITARY PERSONNEL HAVE BEEN POLYGRAPHED AT NSA UNDER THIS PROGRAM.

THESE THEN, ARE THE POLYGRAPH PROGRAMS. THEY ARE ONLY AS EFFECTIVE AS THE POLYGRAPH AND THOSE THAT USE IT CAN MAKE IT.

THE CURRENT INSTRUMENTS USED BY FEDERAL AGENCIES ARE THE PRODUCT OF 85 YEARS OF DEVELOPMENT BY SCIENTISTS AND PRACTITIONERS. THE PHYSIOLOGICAL CHANNELS WHICH THEY RECORD ARE THE PRODUCT OF LENGTHY RESEARCH. THE INSTRUMENTS, WHICH ARE OF SCIENTIFIC QUALITY, RECORD RESPIRATION, ELECTRODERMAL RESPONSES, AND CARDIOVASCULAR RESPONSES. THE PHYSIOLOGICAL INFORMATION IS RECORDED ON A MOVING CHART WHICH HAS A SPEED OF 2 1/2 MILLIMETERS PER SECOND (ABOUT SIX INCHES PER MINUTE). IN EACH POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION, THERE ARE AT LEAST TWO POLYGRAPH CHARTS OF SEVERAL MINUTES EACH. IN MORE COMPLEX SITUATIONS, THERE MAY BE AS MANY AS SIX OR SEVEN CHARTS. THE MINIMUM TIME FOR AN INTERVIEW, INCLUDING A POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION IS ABOUT ONE HOUR, BUT IT MORE OFTEN TAKES FROM ONE AND ONE HALF TO THREE HOURS, AND OCCASIONALLY LONGER THAN THAT.

IN THE PRETEST INTERVIEW, THE SUBJECT OF THE EXAMINATION READS A FULL STATEMENT OF HIS RIGHTS. IN ALL CASES THAT INCLUDES MENTION OF THE FIFTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO AVOID SELF-INCRIMINATION, MENTION THAT THE SUBJECT MAY REFUSE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS, AND THAT THE SUBJECT MAY TERMINATE THE INTERVIEW AT ANY TIME. IN A CRIMINAL CASE THE MIRANDA WARNING IS INCLUDED, OR ARTICLE 31 OF THE UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE. WHEN THE POLYGRAPH IS USED IN DETERMINATIONS FOR CLEARANCE AND ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED INFORMATION, WE ADVISE OF THE PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 WHICH INCLUDES A DISCUSSION OF THE PRINCIPAL PURPOSES FOR WHICH THE INFORMATION

WILL BE USED AND MENTIONS THAT THE DISCLOSURE OF THE INFORMATION IS VOLUNTARY, AND THE INFORMATION WILL BE CONSIDERED CONFIDENTIAL. IT WARNS THE PERSON THAT ANY INFORMATION PROVIDED RELATING TO VIOLATION OF CRIMINAL LAWS MAY BE DISSEMINATED TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES.

FOLLOWING THE EXPLANATION OF THE SUBJECT'S RIGHTS, THERE IS A REVIEW OF THE SUBJECT'S GENERAL HEALTH, AND FITNESS TO TAKE A POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION. AFTER THAT THE EXAMINER REVIEWS THE ISSUES THAT ARE TO BE RESOLVED DURING THE POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION WHICH INCLUDES AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE SUBJECT TO EXPLAIN IN DETAIL HIS OR HER VIEW OF THE MATTER UNDER CONSIDERATION. WORKING WITH THE EXAMINER, THE SUBJECT AND EXAMINER ARRIVE AT MUTUALLY ACCEPTABLE QUESTIONS TO RESOLVE THE ISSUES. THE TESTING TECHNIQUE IS THEN EXPLAINED IN DETAIL TO THE SUBJECT. THE ATTACHMENTS WHICH ARE PLACED ON THE SUBJECT ARE ALSO EXPLAINED IN DETAIL. THE SUBJECT IS ASKED TO SIT STILL, PAY ATTENTION TO THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWER WITH A DEFINITE "YES" OR "NO", AS APPROPRIATE.

BASICALLY, THE POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION IS A METHOD OF QUESTIONING WHEREBY AN INDIVIDUAL IS REQUIRED TO UNEQUIVOCABLY RESPOND WITH A YES OR NO ANSWER TO DIRECT QUESTIONS WHICH HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY REVIEWED WITH AND THE ANSWERS AGREED UPON BY THE SUBJECT OF THE EXAMINATION. THIS QUESTIONING IS DONE WHILE THE

EXAMINEE IS ATTACHED TO A VERY SENSITIVE INSTRUMENT WHICH MONITORS THE PERSON'S RESPIRATION, ELECTRODERMAL RESPONSE, AND CARDIOVASCULAR ACTIVITY TO DETERMINE IF THERE ARE ANY SIGNIFICANT AND CONSISTENT CHANGES IN THESE AREAS IN DIRECT RESPONSE TO ANY OF THE QUESTIONS. THE OBJECTIVE IS TO ASCERTAIN THAT THERE ARE NO REACTIONS WHICH WOULD INDICATE THAT, AT THE TIME OF THE EXAMINATION, THE ANSWERS POSED NO PROBLEMS NOR STIRRED ANY ANXIETY. SHOULD SIGNIFICANT AND CONSISTENT REACTIONS OCCUR TO ANY ONE OR MORE OF THE QUESTIONS, THIS WOULD BE A DEFINITE INDICATOR THAT THE ANSWER PROVIDED TO THE QUESTION AS WORDED ON THE TEST WAS NOT CONSIDERED COMPLETELY SATISFACTORY BY THE EXAMINEE.

REACTIONS ARE SIGNIFICANT CHANGES FROM THE BASELINE RECORDING WHICH IS ESTABLISHED AS THE NORM IN EACH OF THE RECORDED AREAS AT THE BEGINNING OF EACH POLYGRAM OR CHART. DEPENDING ON THE INDIVIDUAL EXAMINEE, THESE CHANGES MAY BE AS MASSIVE AS A TOTAL CESSION OF BREATHING OR A MAJOR INCREASE IN BLOOD PRESSURE OR AS SUBTLE AS A CHANGE IN THE INHALATION - EXHALATION PATTERN OF RESPIRATION OR SLIGHT DECREASE IN SKIN RESISTANCE. THE POINT IS THAT THE REACTIONS WILL OCCUR SPECIFICALLY AT THE PROBLEM QUESTION AND NOT RANDOMLY, THEY WILL BE SIGNIFICANT TO THE TRAINED EXAMINER, AND THEY WILL BE CONSISTENTLY OCCURRING AT THE PROBLEM QUESTION WHENEVER IT IS ASKED.

UPON COMPLETION OF THE TEST SERIES, THE EXAMINER MAKES AN INITIAL EVALUATION OF THE CHARTS. IF THE RESULTS INDICATE DECEPTION, THE SUBJECT IS TOLD THAT, AND THE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS ARE DISCUSSED. THE SUBJECT IS GIVEN EVERY OPPORTUNITY TO EXPLAIN HIS SPECIFIC REACTIONS TO THESE QUESTIONS AND TO MAKE ANY ADMISSION THAT HE CHOOSES. THE INFORMATION PROVIDED WILL BE THE BASIS OF ADDITIONAL OR MODIFIED TEST QUESTIONS IN THOSE AREAS IN AN EFFORT TO RESOLVE THE ISSUE.

AT NSA, AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE EXAMINATION AND INTERVIEW, THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE EXAMINEE IS REVIEWED WITH HIM BY THE EXAMINER TO ENSURE THAT IT HAS BEEN ACCURATELY NOTED BY THE EXAMINER. WHEN THE EXAMINER BEGINS TO PREPARE HIS REPORT OF THE EXAMINATION, HE WILL AGAIN ANALYZE THE CHARTS PRIOR TO MAKING HIS FINAL DETERMINATION. THE REPORT OF THE EXAMINATION, INCLUDING THE POLYGRAPH CHARTS, THE EXAMINER'S ORIGINAL NOTES, AND THE AUDIO TAPE OF THE EXAMINATION AND REVIEW WITH THE EXAMINEE, IS REVIEWED BY A SUPERVISOR WHO IS A SENIOR EXAMINER. THIS INDIVIDUAL WILL DO A SEPARATE ANALYSIS OF THE CHARTS AND THEN REVIEW THE REPORT WITH THE TAPE TO ENSURE ACCURACY. ONCE HE IS SATISFIED IN THESE AREAS, THE REPORT IS FORWARDED TO OUR CLEARANCE DIVISION, A COMPLETELY SEPARATE ENTITY WITHIN THE OFFICE OF SECURITY, WHERE THE INFORMATION IS EVALUATED TO DETERMINE THE INDIVIDUAL'S ELIGIBILITY FOR ACCESS TO SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STANDARDS

ESTABLISHED BY DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE DIRECTIVE 1/14
(DCID 1/14).

IF THE INFORMATION PROVIDED IS CONSIDERED DISQUALIFYING AND THE INDIVIDUAL IS A MILITARY ASSIGNEE, THE SPONSORING SERVICE IS NOTIFIED AND USUALLY TAKES APPROPRIATE ACTION TO REASSIGN THE PERSON TO OTHER DUTIES. IF THE INFORMATION PROVIDED IS NOT CONSIDERED DISQUALIFYING BUT THE ASSIGNEE DID NOT PASS THE POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION, ANOTHER EXAM WILL BE SCHEDULED WITH ANOTHER EXAMINER TO ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE THIS MATTER.

THIS THEN, IS THE MANNER IN WHICH A "REAL WORLD" POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION IS CONDUCTED AND HOW THE QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES WORK AT NSA.

LET ME CONCLUDE ON THE MOST IMPORTANT POINT. WE IN THE SECURITY AND CI BUSINESS MUST EVALUATE ANY PROGRAM, INCLUDING THE POLYGRAPH, ON THE BASIS OF ITS EFFECTIVENESS IN DETECTING OR DEFLECTING ESPIONAGE. WE AT NSA HAVE BEEN SAVED FROM MAJOR PROBLEMS BY THIS INVALUABLE TOOL. BOTH THE DOD/NSA STUDY AND THE SECURITY COMMITTEE STUDY CONTAIN SUMMARIES OF SUCH CASES. SOME ARE NOT WITHOUT AMBIGUITY AND I DON'T PROPOSE TO RECOUNT ALL THESE CASES HERE. LET ME SUMMARIZE JUST TWO CASES FROM RECENT YEARS:

. A MILITARY PERSON ABOUT TO RETIRE FROM ACTIVE DUTY WHERE HE HAD ACCESS TO SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION APPLIED FOR A JOB WITH NSA. HE HAD A CLEAN RECORD. HE REACTED TO POLYGRAPH QUESTIONS ABOUT ESPIONAGE. HE WAS CONFRONTED ABOUT THESE REACTIONS. HE SAID THAT ONLY DAYS BEFORE HE HAD VISITED THE SOVIET EMBASSY IN WASHINGTON TO MAKE ARRANGEMENTS TO DEFECT. THE SOVIETS SUGGESTED HE COMPLETE HIS PROCESSING FOR SENSITIVE EMPLOYMENT.

. AN APPLICANT FOR EMPLOYMENT AT NSA REACTED TO ESPIONAGE QUESTIONS. HE THEN ADMITTED KNOWING AND WORKING WITH A FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE OFFICER. HE DECLINED TO GIVE US DETAILS AND HE CONTINUED TO REACT TO THE RELEVANT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE QUESTIONS.

THIS INFORMATION COULD HAVE BEEN GAINED NO OTHER WAY - ONLY OUR SKILLED POLYGRAPH EXAMINERS SAVED US FROM POTENTIAL DISASTER.

I HAVE EVERY CONFIDENCE IN THE POLYGRAPH AS A VALID TECHNIQUE AND EVERY CONFIDENCE IN THE SKILL AND INTEGRITY OF MY POLYGRAPH EXAMINERS.

I WILL NOW ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE.